REMARKS

In the above-mentioned, Final Office Action, all of the pending claims, claims 1-4, 6-15, and 17-20 were rejected. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, 13-15, 17, and 18 were rejected under §102(b) over Vanttila. Claims 8-10, 19, and 20 were rejected under §103(a) over the combination of Vanttila and Hansson. And, claim 12 was rejected under §103(a) over Vanttila. Additionally, objection was made to various informalities recited in claim 1.

Responsive to the rejections of the claims, independent claims 1 and 13 have been amended, as set forth herein, in manners believed to distinguish better that invention of the present application over the cited references, taken alone or in any combination.

With respect to exemplary claim 1, the claim has been amended, amongst other things, to recite that a data connection is created directly between the mobile station and the server. Nor corresponding data connection is disclosed in Vanttila. Review of Figures 4 and 5 of Vanttila indicate that merely SMS messages are exchanged between an operator site and a user terminal. Through the establishment of the data connection, verification of the client, i.e., the mobile station is possible, and the server is able to determine operations that are to be performed based upon data of the mobile station.

Support for the proposed amendment is found in the specification, e.g., on page 12, line 19-page 13, line 2.

Hansson, cited only for showing a data call status reporter that further determines whether downloading of an operational parameter is successful and for terminating a data call connection, also fails to disclose the data connection, as now recited.

As the dependent claims include all of the limitations of their respective parent claims, these claims are believed to be patentably distinguishable over the cited references for the same reasons as those given with respect to claims 1 and 13.

In light of the foregoing, independent claims 1 and 13 and the remaining ones of the dependent claims dependent thereon are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration for allowance of these claims is respectfully requested. Such early action is earnestly solicited.

Application No 09/918,394 Amendment dated 13 April 2005 Reply to Office Action of 13 January 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Robert H. Kelly Reg. No. 33,922

Dated: 13/fful 0 5

SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P. 5956 Sherry Lane, Suite 1400

Dallas, Texas 75225

Telephone: (214) 706-4200

Fax: (214) 706-4242

robert.kelly@scheefandstone.com